

Neel Chatterjee (SBN 173985)
nchatterjee@goodwinlaw.com

James Lin (SBN 310440)
jlin@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
135 Commonwealth Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025
Tel.: +1 650 752 3100
Fax.: +1 650 853 1038

Brett Schuman (SBN 189247)
bschuman@goodwinlaw.com

Shane Brun (SBN 179079)
sbrun@goodwinlaw.com

Rachel M. Walsh (SBN 250568)
rwalsh@goodwinlaw.com

Hayes P. Hyde (SBN 308031)
hhyde@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, California 94111
Tel.: +1 415 733 6000
Fax.: +1 415 677 9041

Hong-An Vu (SBN 266268)
hvu@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
601 S. Figueroa Street, 41st Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
Tel.: +1 213 426 2500
Fax.: +1 213 623 1673

Attorneys for Defendant: Otto Trucking LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

Waymo LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Uber Technologies, Inc.; Ottomotto LLC; Otto
Trucking LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**OTTO TRUCKING'S NOTICE OF
JOINDER IN UBER TECHNOLOGIES
INC. AND OTTOMOTTO'S OPPOSITION
TO MOTION TO COMPEL UBER
SOURCE CODE (DKT. 1991)**

Trial Date: December 4, 2017
Courtroom: 8, 19th Floor
Judge: Hon. William Alsup

1 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant Otto Trucking, LLC (“Otto Trucking”)
3 hereby joins and adopts Co-Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc.’s (“Uber”) and Ottomotto, LLC’s
4 (“Ottomotto”) Opposition to Motion to Compel Uber Source Code (Dkt. 1991).

5 In a footnote in its brief in support of its Motion to Compel, Waymo states that it is “not
6 aware whether Otto Trucking has access, custody or control of this same code . . .” Dkt. No.
7 1977, at n.1. Otto Trucking states that it has never had access, custody, or control of source code
8 for autonomous vehicles, nor has it ever had access to Uber and/or Ottomotto source code.

9 In further support of its joinder, Otto Trucking states as follows:

10 1. On October 9, 2017, Plaintiff Waymo LLC filed its Motion to Compel Uber Source
11 Code (Dkt. 1977).

12 2. On October 12, 2017, Uber/Ottomotto filed its Opposition to Motion to Compel
13 Uber Source Code (Dkt. No. 1991).

14 3. Otto Trucking joins and adopts, as if set out verbatim herein, the arguments,
15 authorities, contentions, and prayers of Co-Defendants Uber and Ottomotto set forth in Docket
16 No. 1991 for the reason that said response is equally applicable to Otto Trucking in the above-
17 captioned matter.

18 4. Otto Trucking joins and adopts Co-Defendants Opposition to best serve justice and
19 avoid unnecessary or duplicative effort, time, or expense to the parties involved.

20
21 WHEREFORE, Defendant Otto Trucking LLC hereby joins and adopts Co-Defendants’
22 Opposition to Motion to Compel Uber Source Code (Dkt. 1991).

23
24 Dated: October 12, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

25
26 By: /s/ Neel Chatterjee
27 Neel Chatterjee
nchatterjee@goodwinlaw.com
28 Brett Schuman
bschuman@goodwinlaw.com
Shane Brun

sbrun@goodwinlaw.com
Rachel M. Walsh
rwalsh@goodwinlaw.com
Hong-An Vu
hvu@goodwinlaw.com
Hayes P. Hyde
hhyde@goodwinlaw.com
James Lin
jlin@goodwinlaw.com
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

Attorneys for Defendant: Otto Trucking LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by using the CM/ECF system on **October 12, 2017**. I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service of the publicly filed document will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on **October 12, 2017**.

/s/ Neel Chatterjee
NEEL CHATTERJEE